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PROCEEDINGS,
THE COURT: Please be seated. The court is called to order. Let the record reflect that all parties present when the court last recessed are again present in court.

Major Fein?
MR. FEIN: Ma'am, essentially is unclassified and the court's security officer completed the open hearing checklist and it will be followed per the allied documents.

THE COURT: Are there any issues we need to address before we proceed?

Defense, have you had enough time to

```
prepare?
```

MR. HURIEY: Yes, ma'am, we have.
MR. FEIN: No, ma'am. No issues, ma'am.

MR. HURLEY: And, no, we don't have any
issues, ma'am. I admit $I$ didn't understand the entirety --

THE COURT: That's all right. I asked a compound question.
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MR. HURLEY: No issues, ready to go.
THE COURT: All right. Please proceed.
MS. OVERGAARD: The United States calls Mr.
John Feeley.
Whereupon,
JOHN FEELEY,
called as a witness, having been first duly sworn to tell
the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth,
was examined and testified as follows:
EXAMINATION BY MS. OVERGAARD:
Q And you are Mr. John Feeley, from the
Department of State in Washington, D.C.?
A I am.
Q And what bureau do you currently work in?
A The Bureau of Western Hemisphere Affairs.
Q And what is your current position in the Bureau of Western Hemisphere Affairs?

A I am the principal deputy assistant secretary, effectively the number two.

Q And are you -- and you're here today to discuss your opinions based on your expertise in
diplomat priorities and operations in Latin America?
A I am.
Q And, specifically, give your opinion on the impact of PFC Manning's criminal conduct on the Department of State as it relates to Latin America, particularly in Mexico and in Ecuador and as used by the ALBA states?

A That's correct.
MR. HURLEY: The Defense is willing to stipulate to the proper expertise for Mr. Feeley.

THE COURT: All right.
MS. OVERGAARD: Ma'am, we just ask that his bio be marked and we'd like to go through just a couple more foundational questions.

THE COURT: And the Defense?
MR. HURLEY: Again, we have no objection to that exhibit.

THE COURT: Go ahead and have it marked.
May I see it?
MS. OVERGAARD: Ma'am, we also had Ms. Oros (phonetic) bio marked as Prosecution Exhibit 198.

MR. HURIEY: We have no objection to that either, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay.
BY MS. OVERGAARD:

Q Now, you said being the PDAS, the principal deputy assistant secretary, means that you are the number two for WHA?

A That's correct.
Q What do you do in that position?
A I'm -- the easiest way to describe it is the assistant secretary, my boss, is sort of the CEO or the top diplomat for U.S. Government relations with the western hemisphere and I'm kind of the CO, the chief operating officer. I'm the daily sort of interface and liaison with over 50 U.S. ambassadors, principal officers. We have 50 platforms, buildings in the western hemisphere and I have a team under me that deals with the conduct of American diplomacy in all of those countries and I serve as the person who kind of makes the trains run on time with regard to budgets, with regard to personnel as well as backing the
assistant secretary in being a public face of American diplomacy in the western hemisphere.

Q And what countries or what region in general does the western hemisphere cover?

A It is everything from Canada down across the Rio Grande into Mexico, the entire Caribbean and down into Central America and South America.

Q And what is the mission of the bureau of WHA specifically in regard to Latin American countries?

A The mission is to advance U.S. interests with -- through a mechanism of partnership with democratic governments to increase the prosperity and the well-being and democratic stability of those countries in support of U.S. interests.

Q And what are the United States' strategic interests in the region?

A Our issues of interest have to do in the western hemisphere with ensuring that we have global partners who are willing to trade with us, willing to work with the United States to combat transnational organized crime, willing to work with the United States
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in terms of developing higher capacity populations that are able to assume jobs of the 21st century.

A big part of what we do is build capacity in these countries using U.S. expertise from across federal agencies and from state governments and civil society to create or help sustain their own democratic progress and build the human potential to be able to sustain all activities that a functioning, healthy prosperous society should be able to do without having government instability without having cults of personality, without having a need to resort to nondemocratic governments, things of that nature.

Q And I mentioned ALBA states. Can you tell us what the ALBA states are?

A Sure. It's a Spanish language acronym which stands for -- the word Alba means dawn. It's in the dawn --

THE COURT: What is that word again?
THE WITNESS: A-L-B-A, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
A The word in Spanish means dawn. It stands
for the Alianza Bolivarian para los Pueblos de las Americas which is a big long thing that says the -which means the Bolivarian alliance for the countries of our America and, basically, it is a grouping of five countries principally with two others. Those countries are Cuba, Venezuela, Ecuador, Nicaragua and Bolivia and they have a very different vision of how society should be organized.

They are self professed socialists in their orientation towards their economies. They believe that state economies produce better safety nets for people. They -- and they are, in general, not militarily, but they are hostile to U.S. interests as far as regarding human rights, freedom of press. They tend to exhibit tendencies of extreme government centralization under the executive branch, co-opting through patronage or through other mechanisms of the other branches. Some have created new branches of government.

They have all come to office democratically with the exception of Cuba and we have diplomatic relations with all of them, but those relations are
very difficult at times, characterized by an extreme rhetoric on behalf of the ALBA countries against the United States, its intentions and its manifest interests.
$Q$ And how long have you been PDAS for the Bureau of Western Hemisphere Affairs?

A A little over a year. I came and reported in May of 2012.

2 And how long have you been with the Department of State?

A 23 years.
Q And how much of those 23 years was actually spent at the Western Hemisphere affairs bureau?

A Pretty much all of it. I have done two tours on what we call our seventh floor, sort of the military equivalent of being on a joint staff, serving as a deputy executive secretary, kind of a staff secretary for secretaries Powell and Rice.

I did a tour in our operations center as a watch standard, kind of the equivalent of the military MIMIC and I did a year at the National War College
studying and, other than that, all of my tours have been either in the region or in Washington working on western hemisphere affairs.

Q So that's about 19 years?
A Don't make me do that. Yeah, that's about 18.

Q Okay. And how much of that time was actually spent in Latin American countries?

A Two, five, eight -- eleven.
Q And then does that include working in Washington, D.C. with Latin American countries?

A No, that was post -- I'm sorry. About eleven years overseas. I've been posted to our embassy in Santo Domingo in the Dominican Republic. I was posted to our embassy in Bogota, Columbia. I was posted to our embassy in Mexico City twice on two different occasions, each time for two and a half, three years.

Q And then how about working with Latin-American countries from Washington, D.C.?

A From Washington, I have served as the desk
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officer for El Salvador, as an action officer in the policy planning office of the Western Hemisphere Affairs Bureau, as the executive assistant to the assistant secretary, as the office director for Central American affairs and now most currently as the principal deputy.

Q And what is your rank in the foreign service?

A I am a senior foreign service officer with the rank of minister counselor. It's the equivalent of two stars.

Q Now, are you familiar with the purported cables released by WikiLeaks?

A I'm familiar with them.
Q And do you remember when you first learned of these purported cables released by Wikileaks?

A I do.
Q And when was that?
A It was just before Thanksgiving in 2010.
Q And how did you become aware of these
releases?
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A Through communications from Washington, from my headquarters, that informed us that there were purported cables that had been collected and were going to be put out on the worldwide web.

Q What was your position at the time?
A I was then the deputy chief of mission of our mission in Mexico. I sat in the embassy, but we have nine branch offices -- consolates we call them -across Mexico.

Q So what does it mean to be the deputy chief?

A Well, it's the same thing to be the principal deputy except with regard -- with responsibility only for Mexico. It's the number two position. It's the position that does the day-to-day management of -- in Mexico's case, about 2,400 employees, both Mexican and U.S., from about 37 different federal agencies and to support the ambassador in conducting our foreign policy and executing our programs.

Q So number two to the ambassador in that
position?
A That's correct.
Q And do you have experience preparing cables for the Bureau of Western Hemisphere Affairs, specifically Latin America?

A I do.
Q And how do you have that experience?
A For -- ever since my second tour in
Columbia I have drafted them, I have edited them, I have authorized them being sent. I've consumed them as a consumer, a client in Washington as I've read them from our embassies. I have -- the year that I spent in the operations center, $I$ was responsible for distributing cables not just for the western hemisphere but for all of our embassies and consolates around the world, the highly captioned traffic. So I spend a lot of time around cables.

Q So you've not only drafted them but you've reviewed them, you've gone pretty much through every step of --

A That's correct.

Q -- what goes into releasing a cable?
A That's correct.
Q And what do you use cables for in the Bureau of Western Hemisphere Affairs specifically in Latin-American countries?

A We use them for the same thing, quite frankly, that they're used worldwide. There are many different types of cables. The cables -- the purported cables at hand tend to be reporting and analysis cables. These are -- if you want to think of it as sort of letters home from the field, there are a host of other types of cables, though, that have to do with the administration of the embassy, with reporting of personnel movements, things like that.

So there are an awful lot of uses. It's basically the official communications link between an embassy and the Department of State.

MS. OVERGAARD: Ma'am, that's -- the government would ask to move into closed session at this point pending Defense cross, of course.

THE COURT: Yes, let's do that.
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of State?
A I am a diplomat in the service of my nation.

Q And as you indicated in your conversation, your brief conversation with Captain Overgaard, you've handled classified information in discharging those duties at the Department of State?

A I have.
Q And, typically, you are one form of -- the classified documents that you touched were diplomatic cables?

A That's correct.
Q And diplomatic cables come with various tags; is that right?

A That's correct.
Q One of the tags relates to how the cable will be distributed?

A That's correct.
Q One such distribution is SIPDIS?
A SIPDIS isn't a tag, I don't believe.
SIPDIS is a distribution. A tag is something that
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speaks to the substance of the cable.
So, for example, if you're discussing
foreign relations, if the substance of cable has to do with foreign relations, you put a PREL tag on it. If the cable has to do with the management of the embassy, you put an AMGT tag on it.

That allows the cable system to automatically distribute the cable to offices that would have an interest in seeing it.

SIPDIS is a distribution that allows the cable to be sent to a wider group of potential viewers.

Q And all I want to talk about is the distribution instruction that would be included whether it's in SIPDIS or in other distribution instruction, not those other tags.

A Got it.
Q SIPDIS could be distributed on the SIPRNET?
A Yes.
Q And anyone with SIPRNET access could, then, potentially review the cable that's been marked in that fashion?
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A That's my understanding depending upon the classification. SIPRNET, I believe, is only -- I believe it's only up to secret.

Q Right. So if it were a higher classification, then SIPDIS would not be appropriate?

A If it were top secret, $I$ don't believe SIPDIS is used.

Q Right. And thank you, sir.

And there are other forms of distribution?

A There are.

Q XDIS?

A Uh-huh.

Q And that's executive distribution?

A I believe that's what it stands for.

Q And NODIS?

A Uh-huh.

Q Which is no distribution?

A Yeah. It's a bit of a misnomer. NODIS originated when -- before we had modern IT and it was intended and created for what you call in the military, I think, a P4. It's when an ambassador wanted to send
a cable to the Secretary of State.
Q Right. Just to limit the receiving party so the instruction would be clear to that person what to do or not do with the cable that they've received?

A To the...
Q That notice is essentially an instruction to the receiving party?

A That's correct. Well, it's -- actually, what it is all notice cables and all XDIS cables that are marked by the originators and the approvers out in the field come to the operation center. They don't go to the normal automated distribution center and they are looked at by human eyes, officers, watch standers, and there are different set distributions, pat1s, that would include agencies and offices with an interest in the subject. But the -- there is a human element of supervision in terms of who sees what.

Q Right. And thank you, sir.
So those are the ways that a cable could be distributed using those instructions, essentially, those distributions?

A Those and there are others. There is, for example, the director general channel. Anything that has to do with sensitive personnel issues is sent only to the dir-gen channel. That's a caption.

There are -- there's Roger. Roger channels are cables that have to do with intelligence information. So, yeah, there are a bunch of them.

Q And those channels, they don't just distribute inside the Department of State, right?

They would distribute not only to the Department of State but also to interagency partners where appropriate?

A No. Those -- well, XDIS, NODIS, Roger are sent to other agencies but only upon receipt in the department. They are sent directly to the department.

Cables that would have the SIPDIS caption on it would automatically go to other agencies.

Q So beyond SIPDIS, there would be a lot of different ways that an embassy, whether it's in Mexico City or wherever, that they could be able to communicate this cable that they've generated to not
only Washington, D.C., but also whatever interagency partners that the drafter and approver thought appropriate for the cable?

A Yeah, there are, but they're limited. There aren't a lot of them and the numbers of cables that go through, the XDIS, the NODIS, the Roger channel are limited compared to the ones that are sent with the SIPDIS caption.

Q SIPDIS is often seen as the default distribution instruction for many cables; is that right?

A No, I wouldn't agree with that. SIPDIS originated, best of my understanding, after 9/11 when there was an assessment by the $9 / 11$ commission that there was an awful lot of diplomatic reporting that could have been of use to other agencies but wasn't sent out to them by -- automatically or by -- I'm sorry, deliberately selecting Department of Justice, Department of Defense, et cetera.

And so when the overarching mantra of "need to know" was replaced but was, you know, sort of
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mitigated by need to share, SIPDIS was designed -again, I wasn't involved in it, but my -- the explanation I've heard is SIPDIS was designed as a way to use advances in technology to be able to put out cables that an originator, a drafter and an approver thought might be of use to people who weren't directly involved in the day-to-day work of whatever they were writing about.

Q And thank you, sir. There's more than one way -- there's more than SIPDIS to use to communicate through -- not only to your interagency partners, but also back to the headquarters of the Department of State; is that right?

A In cables?
Q Yes.
A Yes, that is correct.
Q And I'm just going to use the acronym ALBA if that's okay --

A Sure.
Q I would butcher what ALBA stands for. Generally speaking, the countries that
comprise ALBA are a tough crowd for the United States government?

A They present us with diplomatic challenges.
$Q$ And they've presented us with diplomatic challenges for quite a while?

A Not really. It depends on how you define "quite a while." But ALBA was incorporated in '98/'99. So the last decade.

Q Venezuela is a part of ALBA?
A It is.
Q And we've had a rocky relationship with Venezuela for -- since the middle of the last decade?

A Since 2002 is when I would say the relationship became difficult.

Q The former president, Chavez, likened President Bush to the devil on the floor of the UN. Is that --

A He did at one point.
$Q \quad$ And Cuba is also part of ALBA?
A It is.
Q And we don't even have diplomatic relations
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with Cuba, do we?
A That's correct.
Q And we've -- Nicaragua is also a part of
ALBA?

A Yes.
Q And our diplomatic relationship with Nicaragua has been rocky?

A Of the ALBAs, the relationship we have with the Nicaraguans is the one that probably is of -- I don't want to say least concern, but we don't seem to have as many direct differences of opinion with Nicaragua as we have with -- certainly with Cuba and with Venezuela.

Q But that relationship, the Nicaraguan relationship has improved over time, correct?

A It's gone back and forth. In 1979, the Sandinistas came to power in Nicaragua. Daniel Ortega was the leader of the Sandinistas. They were supported financially and militarily backed by the Soviet Union and they were openly hostile to the United States and purported to be a communist regime that was opposed to
the United States' influence in Latin America.

In 1990, Daniel Ortega lost the democratic election and our relations Nicaragua improved significantly with the arrival of Donia (phonetic) Violeta Chamorro and for the next 15, 16 years we had very normal and productive relations with Nicaragua. We -- they are included in a free trade agreement that we have with them. We had an awful lot of assistance programs with them, something called the Millennium Challenge Corporation which had, I think, on the order of $\$ 180$ million dollars worth of development assistance to Nicaragua.

In 2007, Ortega democratically won an election again and he has remained in power and he has taken Nicaragua more in the direction of supporting, certainly rhetorically, Venezuela and the ALBA countries and that is -- and in exchange for that, there has been a significant amount of Venezuelan oil that has been exported to Nicaragua on pretty preferential terms which is an economic lifeline for them.

Q Thank you.
Getting back to the classified information for just a second, you've used classified information, as you said, throughout your career?

A I have.
Q You're familiar with the executive orders with respect to classified information?

A I am.
Q And you're familiar with the process in the United States government as to how information is classified?

A I am.
Q Have you ever questioned that -- the process that's laid out by the EOs and by the guidance that you received at the Department of State, have you ever questioned that process?

A No, I haven't.
Q So if you've never questioned it, you've never publicly questioned it?

A I've never publicly questioned it and I've never -- I had to ask -- I have questioned my
supervisors when $I$ was younger in the career. I've questioned my supervisors as to whether or not something should be considered SBU, confidential, secret.

So, in that regard, I've asked questions. I've had the basic sort of familiarization training, but there are always situations where you're not quite sure. How should I classify this? But, over time, I got to know quite well and have my own developed sense as to how things should be classified. But if you're asking me have $I$ have questioned the underlying rationale behind it, no, I haven't.

Q That was my question, thank you.
Sir, I'm going to ask you some questions about your testimony in front of Congress.

You've testified in front of Congress numerous times in your career?

A No. I have briefed Congress numerous times. I have testified in front of Congress once.

Q And that testimony was rather recent?
A It was. It was in May.
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Q Of 2013?
A Yes.
Q And the subject of that testimony was the Mesoamerican relationship; is that right?

A It was the Merida Initiative in Mexico.
Q So the relationship -- the Merida
Initiative and the relationship between the United States and Mexico?

A That's correct.
Q And you began that testimony with a prepared statement?

A I did.
$Q$ Do you recall the specifics of that prepared statement?

A Not verbatim, but the remember the overarching tone of it.

Q If I read you some information, would you be able to identify as to whether or not that information was in your prepared statement or not?

A Pretty much.
Q And just tell me if this is in there or
not.
"Most recently, from 2009 to 2012, when we" -- that's you speaking in the third person -- "and our Mexican partners truly transformed our security and commercial relationships in service of the American and Mexican peoples."

A Absolutely.
Q And those are your words?
A Those are.
Q You also recall saying that "since 2009, 15 congressional delegations have visited Mexico to engage U.S. and Mexico officials to help evolve Merida binational cooperation?"

A Yes.
Q And you recall, with respect to the Merida Initiative, telling Congress that our Mexican partners have spent at least ten dollars to every one dollar that we have contributed to our Merida goals in Mexico?

A Yes, that's correct.
Q You also recall telling Congress that "Merida is a success and we have a wide range of

## UNOFFICIAL DRAFT - 8/1/13 Afternoon Session

```
accomplishments to our credit?"
```

A That's correct.
Q You also talked about the future of the Merida Initiative, did you not?

A I did.
Q Specifically, you talked about President Pena Nieto?

A I did.
Q Am I saying that last name correctly?
A Pretty darn good.
Q Well, there's hope yet.
You recall saying that "President Pena Nieto and his team have consistently made it clear to us their interest in continuing our close collaboration on security issues?"

A That's correct.
Q Most recently during President Obama's visit this month?

A That was in May, correct.
Q So to read it, it would be President
Obama's visit in May of 2013?

## UNOFFICIAL DRAFT - 8/1/13 Afternoon Session

A That's correct.
Q Let's stop right there real quick.
Presidential visits are an important part of American diplomacy, correct?

A Correct.
Q How are presidential visits use, if you can say briefly, in advancing the American -- whether it's some specific initiative or advancing the relationship between a country and the United States?

A Presidential visits are both symbolic and operation. The symbolism aspect of it, I think, is relatively straightforward. You generate tremendous press coverage. They allow not just the presidents or the leaders, but people who support them on both sides of a bilateral visit to talk about the relationship, to get out the information that governments want them to get out. They -- operational aspects tend to come in the form of presidential visits being used as galvanizing events. They're action forcing events.

So initiatives that you may be undertaking with a foreign government, the signing of a treaty, the
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reaching of an agreement to engage upon third-party cooperation. All of those things get -- acquire a sense of urgency and we use them. We use the visit tactically to drive the policy desires that we -- or outcomes that we seek.

Q And correct me if I'm wrong, but normally it's a building process. When you get something -some initiative going and things aren't going smoothly, a presidential visit will help capitalize on the momentum that's been created in the relationship between the American government and this foreign country?

A It can be, but it doesn't have to be. Many times a presidential visit might be something that is agreed upon to get to two leaders together to resolve a "black swan" problem that's come up. Some of the summits that you see surrounding activities regarding wars or genocides, it doesn't always have to be the result of a process or a deliberate process, but it can be.

Q Thank you, sir.
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THE WITNESS: No, it is not, but the answer
that I want to give him --
THE COURT: Don't give it.
THE WITNESS: Then don't give it?
THE COURT: Yes.
THE WITNESS: Got it.
BY MR. HURLEY:
Q Sir, I apologize. I should have told you at the outset of this cross-examination and we've executed the plan perfectly. If I ask you a question and you want to give me your response that it's classified or if you want to give the court --

A Yeah. How do I do that?
Q You just let me know and we'll skip it until there is actually a closed session.

A Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.
I'd prefer to answer that in a closed session.

Q Fantastic, sir, and I'll mark the question so that I can ask you then.

I'm just going to pick up where we left off
with that, the thing we just discovered.
"We continue our ongoing transition from major equipment purchases toward training and capacity building and expansion from assistance solely for federal institutions to an increasing emphasis on state and local government."

A That is correct.
Q Sir, as you indicated with Captain Overgaard, you've spent a lot of time in the western hemispheres -- in the western hemisphere advancing the interests of the United States government?

A Most of my professional career I have.
Q And with the American diplomatics that you've worked with, they're all educated?

A (Pause.)
Q They've all been to college, right?
A They have all been to college.
Q And they certainly all graduated with some master's program?

A No.
Q Not all?

## UNOFFICIAL DRAFT - 8/1/13 Afternoon Session

A No.
Q A good many of them have, though?
A Many have.
Q And they are dedicated to advancing the interests of the United States government?

A (No response.)
Q They have to put aside their personal opinions in conducting business?

A Absolutely. You signed an oath that says that you'll carry out policies of the United States even if you personally disagree with it.

Q Yes, sir.
And you have to not only put aside your personal opinion about the policy, but perhaps a personal opinion about someone that you're working with, perhaps a [inaudible] that you have a negative opinion about?

A That's true.
Q And get to the business of advancing the American national interest?

A That's correct.

Q The diplomats that you've worked with in Latin America, by and large, they're educated?

A The American diplomats or the foreign diplomats?

Q The foreign diplomats in the western hemisphere.

A There is a broader range of educational accomplishment among Latin American diplomats. I would say that they're -- in my estimation, they probably have attended college, some college. Many are very well educated. Many have been educated in the United States. But there are certainly diplomats from certain countries who do not have college degrees in Latin America.

Q And it's their responsibility to advance the interest of their nation, the nation for which they serve as diplomats?

A I would assume so.
Q And like American diplomats, they would need to put aside their personal opinions on things to ensure that they accomplish the mission?

A I can't make that assumption. I would like to, but I can't get inside of their head. I've never served in a foreign diplomatic corp.

I don't know if they sign sworn statements to do that. But I think it's a fair assumption that, just like a business man or anybody who enters into -diplomacy is essentially about negotiation. So if you enter into a negotiation to buy a car, you may not like the general sales manager, but you want the car. So you negotiate.

Q Yes, sir. Sir, just a second. I'm going to look over my notes to make sure I have any more unclassified questions to ask you.

Sir, I do have one more thing. Do you know who secretary Robert Gates is?

A Robert Gates?
$Q \quad$ Uh-huh.
A Yes, former Secretary of Defense.
Q Do you know that he made a public statement about WikiLeaks disclosures?

A I do not recall that.
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Q "Some deal with us because they respect
us."
Do you agree with that?
A I do.

Q "Some deal with us" -- I'm sorry, I misspoke. I'll restart the question.
"Most governments deal with us because they need us."

A No, I don't agree with that.
Q "We are still, essentially, as has been said before, the indispensable nation."

He's talking about the United States. Do you agree with that?

A Yes, with a qualifier.
Q What's the qualifier?
A I believe that that is changing. I believe that we are moving from a bipolar, then went to a uni-polar to now a multi-polar world where power, whether it's diplomatic, economic, financial, military, where power is more diffuse and I think that there are many other poles of that power that are in other places
around the world and not concentrated as heavily as they might have once been in the United States.

In the western hemisphere, sir, does any other country have as many diplomatic people working as the United States?

A Well, our diplomatic corp is relatively small. We're about 6,600 diplomats. There are more military band members than there are diplomats.

Q I've heard that statistic before.
A And I don't know how large -- and a lot of diplomatic corps in Latin America are -- have larger roles because in many countries public service is part of a system of patronage. They don't have professional civil services or foreign service.

We are, though, one of the largest diplomatic presences in Latin America and in the western hemisphere.

Q We spend a lot on foreign aid?
A About a penny per the dollar is what the official figure is of tax dollars. Our foreign aid in Latin America has decreased significantly since the
time of the Alliance For Progress. It's roughly now about $\$ 1.5$ billion dollars in current year.

Q But certainly the United States has a robust business capability that many of our Latin-American partners would be interested in?

A Oh, absolutely. Our Latin-American partners have a great interest in attracting U.S. foreign direct investment and in exporting to the United States.

Q And no country in the western hemisphere has the same amount of soft power, to use that expression, as the United States?

A It depends in what circle. If you are in Argentina and asked that question, you'd probably get a different answer. If you were in Canada, you'd probably would have people disagree with you.

My personal view is that the United States still remains a country that serves as a beacon of sorts, certainly an economic beacon for a lot of migrants and still a beacon that represents upward social mobility. But there are an awful lot of

Latin-American academics. There are an awful lot of people in Latin America who have very mixed feelings about the United States and see the United States as more of -- well, to use the language of the ALBA countries, more of a neo-liberal imperial presence in Latin America. So our soft power, quickly frankly, is something that we consciously want to work on and develop as opposed to hard military power.

Q That opinion, that opinion about neo-liberal power, the negative opinions essentially come from the ALBA countries, that has existed for a while, right?

A Oh, certainly. The ALBAs didn't invent it.
Q Right. It goes back decades if not centuries in the relationship between the United States and Latin American countries?

A Sure. What the ALBA countries have done is breathed into it new life.

MR. HURLEY: Just one second. (Pause.)

I don't have any more questions in that
open session.
THE COURT: All right. Redirect?
MS. OVERGAARD: Yes, ma'am.
EXAMINATION BY MS. OVERGAARD:
Q Without going into any details because we are in open session, did you observe any impact to our relations with Latin America as a result of the disclosure of the cables?

A I did.
MS. OVERGAARD: That's all, ma'am.
THE COURT: All right. Is there anything we need to address before we move into the closed session?

MR. FEIN: No, ma'am.
MR. HURLEY: Not from Defense, ma'am.
THE COURT: Before I recess the court -first of all, Mr. Feeley, please don't discuss your testimony or knowledge of the case with anyone while we're being in preparations for the closed session.

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: We are not going to have
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